

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/734,745	12/12/2003	Bernd Helm	HELM	9532
20151	7590 11/07/2005		EXAMINER	
HENRY M I	FEIEREISEN, LLC		HANNON, THOMAS R	
SUITE 4714	VLNOE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10118		·	3682	

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/734,745	HELM, BERND				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas R. Hannon	3682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Oc	ctober 2005.					
·_ ·	· ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 2-4,6 and 7 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 October 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
						3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
	-, <u> </u>					

Application/Control Number: 10/734,745

Art Unit: 3682

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giese 5,547,060 in view of Grell et al. 5,967,046 and further in view of Daniel 6,880,429.

Giese discloses a transmission having first and second transmission parts (1, 2) which rotate at different speeds, and a radial bearing disposed between the first and second transmission parts and comprising an inner sleeve (3) for attachment to the first transmission part, an outer sleeve (4) for attachment to the second transmission part. The inner and outer sleeves have openings for passage of a pressure medium to a pressure medium feed bore in one of the first and second transmission parts and a pressure medium bore in the other one of the transmission parts. Grell discloses a radial bearing in which the bearing members are coated with a zinc-nickel or a zinc-iron coating. It would have been obvious to tone of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the bearing elements of Giese such that they are coated with a zinc-nickel or a zinc-iron coating because this is taught and suggested by Grell as providing bearing assembly having anti-corrosive properties. With respect o to the second transmission part being made of a cast iron, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the tie the invention was made to manufacture the part interacting with the outer sleeve of Giese of cast iron material, because the use of cast iron as a material for transmission parts is known, as evidenced by Daniel et al. With respect to claims 3 and 7, while Giese is silent as to the type of

Application/Control Number: 10/734,745

Art Unit: 3682

fit of the bearing sleeves, the use of a press fit for bearing members is seen as inherent in Giese, or alternatively, an obvious matter of design for mounting.

Applicant's arguments filed October 24, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The objection to the disclosure is withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendments.

The replacement drawings are accepted.

With respect to the rejection of claim 4, and the statement that it would have been obvious to have the transmission part of cast iron, Applicant "believes that this rejection is improper in the context of the present invention and request a citation or an Examiner's affidavit that provides such citations". Accordingly, the citation to Daniel et al. has been added to the rejection, to further illustrate the fact that transmission parts are known to be formed of cast iron.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3682

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Hannon whose telephone number is (571) 272-7104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas R. Hannon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3682

trh